

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 5:19-CV-445-FL

PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY)	
INSURANCE COMPANY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
CHEROKEE GIVES BACK)	ORDER ON DEFENDANTS'
FOUNDATION; THOMAS F. DARREN II;)	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
THOMAS F. DARREN III; SLOCUM H.)	SEAL DOCUMENTS
FOGLEMAN III a/k/a S. H. "JIM")	
FOGLEMAN; SAMUEL W. WHITT;)	
MAURICE J. COLEMAN; LATOYA KING)	
a/k/a LATOYA GODLEY; and RSUI)	
INDEMNITY COMPANY,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the Court on Defendants Cherokee Gives Back Foundation, Thomas F. Darden II, Thomas F. Darden III, Slocum H. Fogelman III, Samuel W. Whitt, Maurice J. Coleman, and Latoya King's (collectively, "Defendants") Unopposed Motion for Leave to Designate Documents as Filed Under Seal (the "Motion"). For the reasons stated herein, pursuant to this Court's authority under Local Rule 79.2, the Court finds that the Motion should be granted.

DISCUSSION

A party may file a Motion to Seal with this Court pursuant to the requirements of Local Rule 79.2. Local Rule 79.2 references Section V.G. of the Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual ("Policy Manual"). That Section requires that all motions to seal be accompanied by a supporting memorandum that specifies the following:

- (i) the exact document or item, or portions thereof, for which filing under seal is requested;
- (ii) how such request to seal overcomes the common law or the First Amendment presumption to access;
- (iii) the specific qualities of the material at issue which justify sealing such material, taking into account the balance of competing interests in access;
- (iv) the reasons why alternatives to sealing are inadequate; and
- (v) whether there is consent to the motion.

Section V.G., Policy Manual. The Policy Manual also requires that any proposed order accompanying a Motion to Seal set forth the findings required under Section V.G.

As set forth below, the Court determines that Defendants have met the requirements set forth in the Policy Manual, and that Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (D.E. 101), Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Local Civil Rule 56.1 Statement of Material Facts (D.E. 103), and Exhibits 34 and 36 thereto (D.E. 106) ("Plaintiff's Opposition Papers") should be held under seal.

Defendants' Motion to Seal overcomes the common law and First Amendment presumptions to access because Plaintiff's Opposition Papers contain confidential, non-public, and sensitive business information. Specifically, they contain information exchanged between parties as part of a private mediated settlement conference, including confidential requests for insurance coverage, which are all subject to a Confidentiality Agreement. The Memorandum summarizes the nature of the dispute that was subject to the mediation while describing confidential information protected by the same Confidentiality Agreement.

The material to be sealed is Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (D.E. 101), Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Local Civil Rule 56.1 Statement of Material Facts (D.E. 103), and Exhibits 34 and 36 thereto (D.E. 106) ("Plaintiff's Opposition Papers").

Redaction is appropriate to remedy references to confidential information in Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (D.E. 101) and Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Local Civil Rule 56.1 Statement of Material Facts (D.E. 103). To allow access to the non-confidential information, Plaintiff shall file an appropriately redacted versions of these documents redacting all non-public information. The references to confidential information in Exhibits 34 and 36 (D.E. 106) are too numerous to support redacting such information.

While Plaintiff does not consent to the recitation of any facts in Defendants' Motion, Plaintiff does not oppose the relief sought.

BASED UPON the foregoing, it is therefore ORDERED that Plaintiff's Opposition Papers shall be filed under SEAL with this Court.

Accordingly, Defendants Cherokee Gives Back Foundation, Thomas F. Darden II, Thomas F. Darden III, Slocum H. Fogleman III, Samuel W. Whitt, Maurice J. Coleman, and Latoya King's Unopposed Motion for Leave to Designate Documents as Filed Under Seal is GRANTED, and it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff's Opposition Papers be filed under seal and Plaintiff shall have ten (10) days to file redacted versions of Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (D.E. 101) and Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Local Civil Rule 56.1 Statement of Material Facts (D.E. 103).

SO ORDERED, this the 13th day of April, 2020.



Louise W. Flanagan
United States District Judge